

## School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

| School Name | County-District-School <br> (CDS) Code |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ramon S. Tafoya <br> Elementary | 57727100000000 |

Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date

May 6, 2019

Local Board Approval Date

June 13, 2019

## Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)
Schoolwide Program

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.
The School Wide Plan meets the ESSA requirements through:
A comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school that includes information on the academic achievement of students in relation to the challenging state academic standards, particularly the needs of those students who are failing, or are at risk of failing, to meet the challenging state academic standards.: Throughout the year, multiple stakeholder groups discussed available data and shared their thoughts on areas for improvement in student achievement, especially in the areas of writing and math.

Tafoya's school wide plan was developed to support the needs of our students as identified through the comprehensive needs assessment. These include:

Strategies that Tafoya is implementing to address student needs by providing opportunities for all students to meet the challenging state academic standards
The use of methods and instructional strategies that strengthen the academic program at Tafoya

Increase the amount and quality of learning time
Help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well rounded education
Implement strategies that address the needs of all students at Tafoya, but particularly the needs of those students at risk of not meeting the challenging academic standards.

The school wide plan addresses parent and family engagement by conducting outreach to all parents and family members, including:
a school and family engagement policy
a school and parent compact that addresses shared responsibility for high student academic achievement, and building capacity for involvement.

## Stakeholder Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

## Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

Formal needs assessments were conducted with multiple stakeholder groups at Tafoya including ELAC, School Site Council, our Site Leadership Team, and Tafoya staff as a whole. At each meeting we performed an in-depth review of the most recent California School Dashboard data on Tafoya students' academic performance, attendance, reclassification rate, and suspension rate.
Additionally, informal needs assessments occurred on a frequent basis through conversations with administration, parents, staff and students.
On February 26, a team of Tafoya teachers, specialists and administrators met with district office staff for an in-depth review of Tafoya students' performance data, areas of need, and proposed actions and strategies to support these needs. Areas of concern included math and writing for all students and chronic absenteeism for all, with a specific focus on English Learners.
Similar meetings were held with Site Council on Feb. 28th, ELAC on Feb. 27th, Site Leadership on Feb. 19th, and the whole staff on Feb. 20th. Similar conclusions were reached in every meeting.

## Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.
Does not apply

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment <br> Enrollment By Student Group

| Student Enrollment by Subgroup |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Percent of Enrollment |  |  | Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 |
| American Indian | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.72\% | 4 | 6 | 6 |
| African American | 0.9\% | 1.3\% | 1.56\% | 7 | 11 | 13 |
| Asian | 11.7\% | 13.2\% | 13.34\% | 96 | 109 | 111 |
| Filipino | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 1.92\% | 17 | 17 | 16 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 65.7\% | 61.6\% | 60.58\% | 537 | 507 | 504 |
| Pacific Islander | 1.5\% | 1.2\% | 1.20\% | 12 | 10 | 10 |
| White | 14.9\% | 16.9\% | 17.43\% | 122 | 139 | 145 |
| Multiple/No Response | 2.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.84\% | 20 | 3 | 7 |
|  | Total Enrollment |  |  | 818 | 823 | 832 |

## Student Enrollment

 Enrollment By Grade Level| Grade | Student Enrollment by Grade Level |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Students |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ |
| Kindergarten | 119 | 111 | 104 |
| Grade 1 | 121 | 115 | 116 |
| Grade 2 | 128 | 123 | 116 |
| Grade3 | 95 | 133 | 136 |
| Grade 4 | 124 | 96 | 133 |
| Grade 5 | 111 | 128 | 99 |
| Grade 6 | 120 | 117 | 128 |
| Total Enrollment | 818 | 823 | 832 |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our school enrollment has remained consistent over the last three years.
2. Based on the student group data, we can see that our Hispanic enrollment is decreasing, while our Asian and white enrollments are increasing.

## School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment
English Learner (EL) Enrollment

| English Learner (EL) Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Number of Students |  |  | Percent of Students |  |  |
|  | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 |
| English Learners | 304 | 273 | 249 | 37.2\% | 33.2\% | 29.9\% |
| Fluent English Proficient (FEP) | 111 | 111 | 132 | 13.6\% | 13.5\% | 15.9\% |
| Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) | 39 | 48 | 63 | 12.6\% | 15.8\% | 23.1\% |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The percentage of English Learners has declined at a rate of about $4 \%$ a year.
2. In reviewing our reclassification data, we find a huge increase in the number of students who have been reclassified over the past three years. $12.6 \%$ to $23.1 \%$. The percentage has almost doubled.
3. The number of Fluent English Proficient students has remained relatively constant.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with Scores |  |  | \% of Students Tested |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 3 | 98 | 134 | 137 | 93 | 134 | 137 | 93 | 134 | 137 | 94.9 | 100 | 100 |
| Grade 4 | 129 | 96 | 134 | 126 | 95 | 133 | 126 | 95 | 133 | 97.7 | 99 | 99.3 |
| Grade 5 | 119 | 128 | 96 | 117 | 128 | 94 | 117 | 128 | 94 | 98.3 | 100 | 97.9 |
| Grade 6 | 121 | 121 | 128 | 119 | 121 | 127 | 119 | 121 | 127 | 98.3 | 100 | 99.2 |
| All Grades | 467 | 479 | 495 | 455 | 478 | 491 | 455 | 478 | 491 | 97.4 | 99.8 | 99.2 |


| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard Exceeded |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Standard } \\ \text { Met } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | \% Standard Nearly Met |  |  | \% Standard Not Met |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 3 | 2410. | 2398. | 2410. | 18 | 15.67 | 14.60 | 22 | 20.90 | 29.93 | 26 | 27.61 | 24.82 | 34 | 35.82 | 30.66 |
| Grade 4 | 2427. | 2447. | 2443. | 13 | 17.89 | 14.29 | 21 | 23.16 | 24.06 | 24 | 23.16 | 18.80 | 43 | 35.79 | 42.86 |
| Grade 5 | 2476. | 2447. | 2483. | 8 | 7.81 | 18.09 | 27 | 22.66 | 27.66 | 31 | 22.66 | 20.21 | 34 | 46.88 | 34.04 |
| Grade 6 | 2534. | 2509. | 2506. | 16 | 9.92 | 9.45 | 39 | 29.75 | 32.28 | 27 | 35.54 | 29.92 | 18 | 24.79 | 28.35 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 13 | 12.55 | 13.85 | 27 | 24.06 | 28.51 | 27 | 27.41 | 23.63 | 32 | 35.98 | 34.01 |


| Reading <br> Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 3 | 20 | 17.91 | 19.71 | 45 | 41.04 | 51.09 | 34 | 41.04 | 29.20 |
| Grade 4 | 13 | 18.95 | 18.80 | 41 | 50.53 | 49.62 | 45 | 30.53 | 31.58 |
| Grade 5 | 15 | 9.38 | 26.60 | 44 | 44.53 | 41.49 | 41 | 46.09 | 31.91 |
| Grade 6 | 20 | 12.40 | 14.96 | 52 | 53.72 | 48.82 | 28 | 33.88 | 36.22 |
| All Grades | 17 | 14.44 | 19.55 | 45 | 47.07 | 48.27 | 37 | 38.49 | 32.18 |

Writing
Producing clear and purposeful writing

| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ |
| Grade 3 | 16 | 13.43 | 16.06 | 53 | 48.51 | 43.07 | 31 | 38.06 | 40.88 |
| Grade 4 | 17 | 14.74 | 15.04 | 43 | 46.32 | 46.62 | 40 | 38.95 | 38.35 |
| Grade 5 | 14 | 9.38 | 20.21 | 54 | 46.09 | 37.23 | 32 | 44.53 | 42.55 |
| Grade 6 | 22 | 11.57 | 13.39 | 55 | 55.37 | 55.12 | 23 | 33.06 | 31.50 |
| All Grades | 17 | 12.13 | 15.89 | 51 | 49.16 | 46.03 | 32 | 38.70 | 38.09 |


| Listening |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ |
| Grade 3 | 15 | 17.16 | 13.87 | 66 | 57.46 | 67.15 | 19 | 25.37 | 18.98 |
| Grade 4 | 9 | 12.63 | 13.53 | 71 | 65.26 | 69.17 | 21 | 22.11 | 17.29 |
| Grade 5 | 9 | 4.69 | 13.83 | 74 | 66.41 | 63.83 | 17 | 28.91 | 22.34 |
| Grade 6 | 18 | 10.74 | 12.60 | 66 | 75.21 | 66.93 | 15 | 14.05 | 20.47 |
| All Grades | 13 | 11.30 | 13.44 | 69 | 65.90 | 67.01 | 18 | 22.80 | 19.55 |


| Research/Inquiry <br> Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 3 | 22 | 15.67 | 18.98 | 52 | 53.73 | 56.20 | 27 | 30.60 | 24.82 |
| Grade 4 | 14 | 25.26 | 15.79 | 52 | 48.42 | 45.11 | 33 | 26.32 | 39.10 |
| Grade 5 | 21 | 15.63 | 25.53 | 62 | 39.84 | 41.49 | 18 | 44.53 | 32.98 |
| Grade 6 | 37 | 22.31 | 21.26 | 52 | 54.55 | 55.12 | 11 | 23.14 | 23.62 |
| All Grades | 23 | 19.25 | 19.96 | 55 | 49.16 | 50.10 | 22 | 31.59 | 29.94 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our participation rate has increased to over $99 \%$ in the last two years.
2. Only $20 \%$ of our students are below standard in listening. This is a relative strength for us.
3. Writing is an area that needs attention. Almost $40 \%$ of our students are below standard.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> Mathematics (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with Scores |  |  | \% of Students Tested |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 3 | 98 | 134 | 137 | 94 | 133 | 137 | 94 | 133 | 137 | 95.9 | 99.3 | 100 |
| Grade 4 | 129 | 96 | 134 | 126 | 95 | 133 | 126 | 95 | 133 | 97.7 | 99 | 99.3 |
| Grade 5 | 119 | 128 | 96 | 117 | 128 | 95 | 117 | 128 | 95 | 98.3 | 100 | 99 |
| Grade 6 | 121 | 121 | 127 | 120 | 121 | 126 | 120 | 121 | 126 | 99.2 | 100 | 99.2 |
| All Grades | 467 | 479 | 494 | 457 | 477 | 491 | 457 | 477 | 491 | 97.9 | 99.6 | 99.4 |


| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard Exceeded |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly Met |  |  | \% Standard Not Met |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 3 | 2420. | 2405. | 2397. | 12 | 15.04 | 8.76 | 30 | 20.30 | 24.09 | 34 | 22.56 | 25.55 | 24 | 42.11 | 41.61 |
| Grade 4 | 2429. | 2453. | 2442. | 4 | 10.53 | 10.53 | 21 | 27.37 | 21.05 | 37 | 36.84 | 28.57 | 39 | 25.26 | 39.85 |
| Grade 5 | 2456. | 2443. | 2477. | 3 | 2.34 | 18.95 | 16 | 15.63 | 8.42 | 28 | 28.13 | 28.42 | 52 | 53.91 | 44.21 |
| Grade 6 | 2511. | 2497. | 2493. | 16 | 11.57 | 12.70 | 22 | 17.36 | 20.63 | 29 | 34.71 | 25.40 | 33 | 36.36 | 41.27 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 9 | 9.85 | 12.22 | 22 | 19.71 | 19.35 | 32 | 29.98 | 26.88 | 38 | 40.46 | 41.55 |


| Concepts \& Procedures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ |
| Grade 3 | 26 | 23.31 | 16.06 | 44 | 34.59 | 34.31 | 31 | 42.11 | 49.64 |
| Grade 4 | 8 | 20.00 | 20.30 | 32 | 38.95 | 28.57 | 60 | 41.05 | 51.13 |
| Grade 5 | 9 | 10.94 | 22.11 | 30 | 27.34 | 25.26 | 62 | 61.72 | 52.63 |
| Grade 6 | 26 | 18.18 | 23.02 | 33 | 33.06 | 26.98 | 42 | 48.76 | 50.00 |
| All Grades | 16 | 18.03 | 20.16 | 34 | 33.12 | 29.12 | 50 | 48.85 | 50.71 |

Problem Solving \& Modeling/Data Analysis
Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems

| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ |
| Grade 3 | 12 | 15.79 | 13.87 | 49 | 36.09 | 43.80 | 39 | 48.12 | 42.34 |
| Grade 4 | 9 | 11.58 | 13.53 | 41 | 47.37 | 40.60 | 50 | 41.05 | 45.86 |
| Grade 5 | 7 | 4.69 | 17.89 | 30 | 37.50 | 32.63 | 63 | 57.81 | 49.47 |
| Grade 6 | 14 | 13.22 | 11.11 | 46 | 40.50 | 42.86 | 40 | 46.28 | 46.03 |
| All Grades | 10 | 11.32 | 13.85 | 41 | 39.83 | 40.53 | 49 | 48.85 | 45.62 |


| Communicating Reasoning <br> Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 3 | 21 | 15.04 | 16.79 | 57 | 47.37 | 46.72 | 21 | 37.59 | 36.50 |
| Grade 4 | 8 | 17.89 | 13.53 | 40 | 41.05 | 34.59 | 52 | 41.05 | 51.88 |
| Grade 5 | 5 | 7.81 | 16.84 | 39 | 37.50 | 30.53 | 56 | 54.69 | 52.63 |
| Grade 6 | 15 | 14.88 | 16.67 | 55 | 42.98 | 41.27 | 30 | 42.15 | 42.06 |
| All Grades | 12 | 13.63 | 15.89 | 47 | 42.35 | 38.90 | 41 | 44.03 | 45.21 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. This is an area of great need. Only $30 \%$ of our students are at or above standard.
2. In 4th and 6th grades, the percentage of students below standard has increased by $15 \%$ and $5 \%$, respectively.
3. Over half of our students are below standard in concepts and procedures.

## School and Student Performance Data

## ELPAC Results

| Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade <br> Level | Overall | Oral Language | Written Language | Number of <br> Students Tested |
| Grade K | 1455.3 | 1464.7 | 1433.2 | 40 |
| Grade 1 | 1486.2 | 1491.0 | 1481.1 | 25 |
| Grade 2 | 1506.4 | 1502.6 | 1509.8 | 37 |
| Grade 3 | 1510.3 | 1511.1 | 1509.2 | 32 |
| Grade 4 | 1518.0 | 1518.6 | 1517.0 | 33 |
| Grade 5 | 1512.9 | 1510.0 | 1514.9 | 15 |
| Grade 6 | 1531.8 | 1532.5 | 1530.6 | 18 |
| All Grades |  |  |  | 200 |


| Overall Language <br> Number and Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |
| Level | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
| Grade K | 20 | 50.00 | 11 | 27.50 | * | * | * | * | 40 |
| Grade 1 | 13 | 52.00 | 11 | 44.00 | * | * |  |  | 25 |
| Grade 2 | 22 | 59.46 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 37 |
| Grade 3 | * | * | 20 | 62.50 | * | * | * | * | 32 |
| Grade 4 | * | * | 22 | 66.67 | * | * | * | * | 33 |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * |  |  | * | * | 15 |
| Grade 6 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 18 |
| All Grades | 77 | 38.50 | 86 | 43.00 | 23 | 11.50 | 14 | 7.00 | 200 |

Oral Language
Number and Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students

| Grade <br>  | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of <br> Students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |  |
| Grade 1 | 21 | 57.50 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 40 |
| Grade 2 | 25 | 67.57 | $*$ | $*$ |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| Grade 3 | 16 | 50.00 | 14 | 43.75 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 37 |
| Grade 4 | 19 | 57.58 | 12 | 36.36 | $*$ | $*$ |  |  | 32 |
| Grade 5 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 33 |
| Grade 6 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |  | 15 |
| All Grades | 120 | 60.00 | 60 | 30.00 | 13 | 6.50 | $*$ | $*$ | 18 |


| Written Language <br> Number and Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
| Grade K | 19 | 47.50 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 40 |
| Grade 1 | 12 | 48.00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 25 |
| Grade 2 | 22 | 59.46 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 37 |
| Grade 3 |  |  | 16 | 50.00 | * | * | * | * | 32 |
| Grade 4 | * | * | 18 | 54.55 | 12 | 36.36 | * | * | 33 |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 15 |
| Grade 6 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 18 |
| All Grades | 57 | 28.50 | 64 | 32.00 | 45 | 22.50 | 34 | 17.00 | 200 |


| Listening Domain <br> Number and Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of Students |
| Grade K | 29 | 72.50 | * | * | * | * | 40 |
| Grade 1 | 21 | 84.00 | * | * |  |  | 25 |
| Grade 2 | 29 | 78.38 | * | * | * | * | 37 |
| Grade 3 | 11 | 34.38 | 20 | 62.50 | * | * | 32 |
| Grade 4 | 12 | 36.36 | 19 | 57.58 | * | * | 33 |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 15 |
| Grade 6 | * | * | 11 | 61.11 | * | * | 18 |
| All Grades | 112 | 56.00 | 75 | 37.50 | 13 | 6.50 | 200 |

## Speaking Domain

| Speaking Domain <br> Number and Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of Students |
| Grade K | 19 | 47.50 | 17 | 42.50 | * | * | 40 |
| Grade 1 | 18 | 72.00 | * | * |  |  | 25 |
| Grade 2 | 24 | 64.86 | 12 | 32.43 | * | * | 37 |
| Grade 3 | 22 | 68.75 | * | * | * | * | 32 |
| Grade 4 | 27 | 81.82 | * | * |  |  | 33 |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 15 |
| Grade 6 | 15 | 83.33 | * | * |  |  | 18 |
| All Grades | 134 | 67.00 | 58 | 29.00 | * | * | 200 |


| Number and Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade <br> Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of <br> Students |  |
| Grade K | 18 | 45.00 | 16 | 40.00 | $*$ | $*$ | 40 |  |
| Grade 1 | 13 | 52.00 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 25 |  |
| Grade 2 | 23 | 62.16 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 37 |
| Grade 3 |  |  | 23 | 71.88 | $*$ | $*$ | 32 |  |
| Grade 4 | $*$ | $*$ | 25 | 75.76 | $*$ | $*$ | 33 |  |
| Grade 5 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 15 |  |
| Grade 6 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 13 | 72.22 | 18 |  |
| All Grades | 59 | 29.50 | 89 | 44.50 | 52 | 26.00 | 200 |  |


| Writing Domain <br> Number and Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of Students |
| Grade K | 16 | 40.00 | 13 | 32.50 | 11 | 27.50 | 40 |
| Grade 1 | * | * | 14 | 56.00 | * | * | 25 |
| Grade 2 | 17 | 45.95 | 20 | 54.05 |  |  | 37 |
| Grade 3 | * | * | 27 | 84.38 | * | * | 32 |
| Grade 4 | * | * | 23 | 69.70 |  |  | 33 |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 15 |
| Grade 6 | * | * | 15 | 83.33 |  |  | 18 |
| All Grades | 66 | 33.00 | 120 | 60.00 | 14 | 7.00 | 200 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall our students performed very well on the ELPAC. Eighty-one percent of our English Learners scored a 3 or a 4.
2. The domain with the highest number of beginners is writing.
3. Thirteen students in sixth grade are still at the beginning level in reading.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Population

This section provides information about the school's student population.

| 2017-18 Student Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total <br> Enrollment | Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged |  |  |
| 832 | $63.2 \%$ | English <br> Learners | Foster <br> Youth |
| $\mathbf{2 9 . 9 \%}$ | $0.6 \%$ |  |  |

This is the total number of students enrolled.

This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.

This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.


This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court.

| 2017-18 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| English Learners | 249 | $29.9 \%$ |
| Foster Youth | 5 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Homeless | 18 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 526 | $63.2 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | 96 | $11.5 \%$ |


| Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| African American | 13 | $1.6 \%$ |
| American Indian | 6 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Asian | 111 | $13.3 \%$ |
| Filipino | 16 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 504 | $60.6 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | 20 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | 10 | $1.2 \%$ |
| White | 145 | $17.4 \%$ |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our largest student group by ethnicity is our Hispanic students with $60.6 \%$.
2. Our white student group and our Asian student group make up our next two largest groups with $17.4 \%$ and $13.3 \%$ respectively.
3. A large percentage ( $63.2 \%$ ) of our population is identified as socioeconomically disadvantaged.

## School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance

2018 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students


| Conditions \& Climate |
| :---: |
| Suspension Rate |
| Yellow |

## English Learner Progress



No Performance Color

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our performance in math is one level lower than ELA.
2. ELA, Suspension and Chronic Absenteeism are all in the middle range (yellow).

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Language Arts

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance


Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2018 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2018 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group


Homeless


No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

9 students



Students with Disabilities


Orange
103.2 points below standard

Increased 5.8 points

72 students


This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner | Reclassified English Learners | English Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101.7 points below standard | 5.1 points above standard | 16.9 points below standard |
| Declined -7.5 points | Increased 3.1 points | Increased 15.1 points |
| 94 students | 127 students | 242 students |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. All student groups maintained or increased their scores except our current English Learners who declined 7.5 points.
2. Three groups are above standard overall: Reclassified English Learners, White, and Two or more races.
3. Students with Disabilities and English Learners have scores that are more than 100 points below standard.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance

Mathematics
The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance

$\underset{\text { Yellow }}{\text { T }}$

Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2018 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2018 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group


| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| 133.9 points below standard |
| Declined -11.6 points |
| 72 students |



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner | Reclassified English Learners | English Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 114.3 points below standard | 22.8 points below standard | 42.3 points below standard |
| Declined -11.8 points | Maintained 2.2 points | Increased 4.1 points |
| 94 students | 127 students | 242 students |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. All student groups are below standard.
2. Two groups are significantly lower than the others: Current English Learners and Students with Disabilities with 114.3 and 133.9 points from standard, respectively.
3. We need to focus on math instruction.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Learner Progress

This section provides a view of the percent of students performing at each level on the new English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) assessment. With the transition ELPAC, the 2018 Dashboard is unable to report a performance level (color) for this measure.

2018 Fall Dashboard English Language Proficiency Assessments for California Results

| Number of Students | Level 4 Well Developed | Level 3 Moderately Developed | Level 2 Somewhat Developed | Level 1 Beginning Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | 38.5\% | 43\% | 11.5\% | 7\% |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. More than $80 \%$ of our students scored at level 3 and level 4 , well or moderately developed.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance

College/Career
The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

| Lowest |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Performance | Red |  | Gellow | | Highest |
| :--- |
| Perffrmance |

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard College/Career Equity Report

This section provides information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

2018 Fall Dashboard College/Career for All Students/Student Group


This section provides a view of the percent of students per year that qualify as Not Prepared, Approaching Prepared, and Prepared.

2018 Fall Dashboard College/Career 3-Year Performance

| Class of 2016 | Class of 2017 | Class of 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prepared | Prepared | Prepared |
| Approaching Prepared | Approaching Prepared | Approaching Prepared |
| Not Prepared | Not Prepared | Not Prepared |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. This data is not available for elementary students.
2. Tafoya is a member of the No Excuses Network of schools. We promote college and career preparedness and teach our students about all the advantages of a college degree.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement

Chronic Absenteeism
The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance

$\underset{\text { Yellow }}{\text { T }}$

Green

Blue
Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

|  | 2018 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2018 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group



## Students with Disabilities



Orange
$15.6 \%$ chronically absent
Increased 5.4\%

122 students

## 2018 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity

| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Performance Color | No Performance Color |  | No Performance Color |
| 15.4\% chronically absent | Less than 11 Students - Data | 7.1\% chronically absent | 0\% chronically absent |
| Increased 15.4\% | 5 students | Declined 5.6\% | Declined 11.8\% |
| 13 students |  | 113 students | 16 students |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
| Orange | No Performance Color | No Performance Color |  |
| 11.4\% chronically absent | 13.8\% chronically absent | Less than 11 Students - Data | $6 \%$ chronically absent |
| Increased 1.2\% | Declined 2.2\% | 10 students | Declined 2.3\% |
| 518 students | 29 students |  | 149 students |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Almost $10 \%$ of our students are chronically absent.
2. The groups with the largest percentages of chronically absent students are: Students with Disabilities, English Learners, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged and Hispanic.
3. Students with Disabilities, English Learners, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, and Hispanic groups all increased their chronic absenteeism rate since last year.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Graduation Rate

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

| Lowest |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Performance | Red |  | Gellow | Highest |
| Performance |  |  |  |  |

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

| 2018 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate Equity Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Orange | Yellow |  |  |  |  |  |  |

This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group

| All Students | English Learners |  | Foster Youth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homeless | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |  | Students with Disabilities |
| 2018 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who received a high school diploma within four years of entering ninth grade or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Year

2017

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Does not apply

## School and Student Performance Data <br> Conditions \& Climate Suspension Rate

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

|  | 2018 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2018 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group


| Foster Youth |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| $9.1 \%$ suspended at least once |
| 11 students |



Students with Disabilities


Yellow
4\% suspended at least once

Declined -1.4\%
125 students

| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Performance Color | No Performance Color |  | No Performance Color |
| $0 \%$ suspended at least once | Less than 11 Students - Data | 0\% suspended at least once | $0 \%$ suspended at least once |
| Maintained 0\% <br> 14 students |  | Declined -0.9\% 119 students | Maintained 0\% 16 students |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
|  | No Performance Color | No Performance Color |  |
| $1 \%$ suspended at least once | $3.1 \%$ suspended at least once | Less than 11 Students - Data 10 students | $3.9 \%$ suspended at least once |
| Declined -0.7\% 522 students | Declined -0.7\% 32 students |  | Increased 0.5\% 154 students |

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year

| 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4\% suspended at least once | 1.8\% suspended at least once | $1.6 \%$ suspended at least once |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our suspension rate has decreased $2.4 \%$ since 2016 . It is now $1.6 \%$.
2. The white student group has the highest number of suspensions.
3. Our English Learners and our Asian students have the fewest number of suspensions and are in the green on the 2018 Fall Dashboard.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

All Students will be proficient in Literacy, Numeracy, and 21st Century Skills through high quality, effective teaching and learning practices.

## Goal 1

All Students will be proficient in Literacy, Numeracy, and 21st Century Skills through high quality, effective teaching and learning practices.

## Identified Need

Based on a review of California Dashboard data, iReady and internal data during our needs assessments with our stakeholder groups, the school identified a need to improve ELA and math performance in general (with a specific focus on math concepts and procedures and writing). A lack of conceptual and foundational math skills, teachers feeling less comfortable teaching math, inconsistent use of adopted curriculum and unfocused staff collaboration are believed to be the root causes for the gaps in student achievement.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator
Show growth on the English Language Arts and Math Academic Indicator.

Percentage of students who reach growth targets on iReady (elementary schools) and NWEA (secondary schools) in Reading and Math.
Percentage of Professional Learning Communities (PLC) that analyze student work to implement best practices.
Show growth on the English Learner Progress Indicator

Baseline/Actual Outcome
Students are 24.7 points below standard in ELA (yellow) and 47.9 points below standard in math (orange).
$34 \%$ of students met the Growth Target in Reading and $22 \%$ met the Growth Target in Math.

A baseline will be established this year.

## Expected Outcome

Tafoya students will decrease the distance from level 3 (at standard) by 5 points in ELA and math.
The percentage of students meeting the Growth Target in iReady Reading and Math will increase by $5 \%$.

A baseline is established.

This data will be reported when it is available.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1 <br> Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity <br> (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups) <br> All students and English learners

## Strategy/Activity

Provide professional learning, focused collaboration opportunities, and schoolwide, consistent use of pacing guides and adopted curriculum to support best first instruction through the use of PLCs that share strategies, create rubrics, and develop informal common assessments to determine student needs for intervention or acceleration.

* Common Planning Time
* Professional learning opportunities
* Materials and supplies to support differentiation with an emphasis on English learners and students with disabilities
* Materials and supplies to support intervention with an emphasis on English learners and students with disabilities
* Substitutes
* Release time
* Intervention instructors
* Para-professionals to support differentiation and intervention


## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

| Amount(s) |
| :--- |
| 25173 |
| 55244 |
| 6806.30 |

Source(s)
Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected
Supplemental/Concentration
Site Discretionary

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

All students will graduate high school and be competitively college and career ready through personalized learning.

## Goal 2

All students will graduate high school and be competitively college and career ready through personalized learning.

## Identified Need

After reviewing WJUSD Dashboard data and hearing anecdotal data from stakeholders including families, local business and colleges, our stakeholders concluded that preparation for college and career for students and parents must begin in elementary school.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of students completing UC/CSU a-g course requirements (high school only) | N/A | N/A |
| Number of pathways that result in certification in high demand, local industry sectors (high school only) | N/A | N/A |
| Increase the number of students who are "Prepared" on the College/Career Indicator (high school only) | N/A | N/A |
| Increase opportunities for all students to have meaningful participation in the Visual and Performing Arts | After school VAPA classes have been offered in performing arts, fine arts, crafts, and technology. (20162018). Money was allocated for 2018-19 classes; however we were unable to find instructors. A new baseline will be established this year. | A baseline will be established. To establish a baseline, VAPA classes will be available throughout the year for students in grades K-6 to explore their talents and interests that could lead to future careers. |
| Increase student and parent awareness of college readiness and career preparation | Parent workshops are offered on an inconsistent basis. All classes have adopted a college and have a college cheer. About 50\% of classes have monthly "College Conversations", based on the | To establish a baseline, a schedule of planned parent information classes will be created and held throughout the year. In the 2019-2020 school year, $100 \%$ of classes will hold "college conversation" |


| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | book of the same name. All <br> classes participate in Career <br> Week to different degrees. | lessons and promote <br> knowledge of skills that will <br> help students prepare for a <br> future of their choice. |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All students
Strategy/Activity
Provide multiple opportunities for students to participate in VAPA classes to expand their learning and their connection to school.

* Materials and supplies for VAPA classes
* VAPA instructors
* Planning time
* Extra duty pay to attend VAPA showcase in the evening


## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
10000

10000

Source(s)
Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected
Supplemental/Concentration

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All students

Strategy/Activity
Provide a grade level appropriate plan to introduce students, parents and new staff to college readiness and career planning through participation in the No Excuses University Network of schools and development of life skills that benefit college students.

## * Attendance at NEU Workshops for new staff

* Developing college-specific leadership in veteran staff staff through NEU Leadership Training
* Student awards for life skills and academic achievement
* Substitutes for teacher training and planning


## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

| Amount(s) |
| :--- |
| 3000 |
| 10000 |
| 5000 |

## Source(s)

## Site Discretionary

Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected
Supplemental/Concentration

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

All students will be successful through the development of targeted and coherent systems of support.

## Goal 3

All students will be successful through the development of targeted and coherent systems of support.

## Identified Need

During our needs assessment meetings, it was determined that our high chronic absentee rates is a major contributor in gaps in student performance and school connectedness.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator
Decrease the number of
students who are chronically
absent.

Increase student sense of safety and school connectedness.

Ensure access to extended learning opportunities.

Baseline/Actual Outcome
$9.7 \%$ of Tafoya students (83) are chronically absent. This is a slight decrease of $.4 \%$ from the previous year. English learners are in the red. Groups in the orange include socioeconomically disadvantaged, students with disabilities and Hispanic.
A baseline will be established this year.

A baseline will be established this year.

## Expected Outcome

The percentage of chronically absent students will decrease 2\% overall and 5\% for English Learners,

A baseline is established.

A baseline is established.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

 (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)All students, students who are English Learners

## Strategy/Activity

Provide outreach to Tafoya parents and students about the importance of good attendance in school and future success.

## * Student incentives

* Parent education nights, including parent nights targeted towards English learner parents and families who leave on extended trips
* Child care and snacks for meetings
* Release time for home visits
* Supplies and materials


## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
3000

3000
1000
1682

## Source(s)

Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected

Supplemental/Concentration
Site Discretionary
Title I Part A: Parent Involvement

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All students

## Strategy/Activity

Tafoya staff will create healthy settings in which students can exercise autonomy and practice decision-making skills. Teachers will hold students to high standards and collaborate. Classes will offer hands-on, collaborative learning though meaningful project-based learning that connects to students' lives.

* Professional development
* Materials and supplies
* Substitutes
* Math manipulatives
* Student recognition materials


## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
2000
15000

Source(s)
Site Discretionary
Supplemental/Concentration

## Strategy/Activity 3

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All students

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Improve the English proficiency and academic achievement of English Learners.

## Goal 4

Improve the English proficiency and academic achievement of English Learners.

## Identified Need

In reviewing the California Dashboard with our stakeholders, the school identified a need to improve ELA and math performance of our English Learners.A high chronic absentee rate (independent studies account for even more absences), lack of student connection to the curriculum, and inconsistent supports at school and at home were identified as major causes for gaps in the achievement of our English Learners. A focus on an engaging, rigorous curriculum will improve student proficiency.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator
Increase the Reclassification rate for English Learners.

Show growth on the English Learner Progress Indicator (CA School Dashboard).
Decrease the number of Long
Term English Learners (middle and high school only).
Increase the number of State Seals of Biliteracy awarded to students (high school only).
Improve academic areas of ELA and math for English Learners

Baseline/Actual Outcome
21.7\% of English learners were reclassified in 2017-18.

This data will be reported when available.

## N/A

N/A

Tafoya English Learners are 101.7 points below standard in ELA (orange) and 114.3 points below standard in Math (orange).

Expected Outcome
Continue to reclassify English Learners at the rate at or above the baseline of $21.7 \%$.
A baseline is established.

## N/A

## N/A

Tafoya English learners will decrease the distance from level 3 by 5 points in ELA and math.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## English Learners

Strategy/Activity
Increase the performance of English Learners in ELA and Math through implementing targeted interventions and scaffolds, building strong relationships with students and families, and engaging in close monitoring of student progress.

* Intervention instructors
* Release time for student monitoring
* Professional development
* Materials and supplies for intervention and support for English learners
* Academic Conferences
* Supplemental materials
* Parent Liaison
* Translations


## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
40000
14364

2000

Source(s)
Supplemental/Concentration
Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected

Site Discretionary

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Excellence for ALL students is supported through meaningful stakeholder engagement.

## Goal 5

Excellence for ALL students is supported through meaningful stakeholder engagement.

## Identified Need

Stakeholder groups have identified a need for increased communication through technology. It was also noted that participation in our parent leadership groups (PTA, SSC, and ELAC) is very low for a school of our size.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator
Increase participation rate of
parents at
SSC/ELAC/PTA/Boosters to
represent diversity of student
demographics.
Increase parent/family
satisfaction to "high" on
Healthy Kids Survey, on key
indicators
Increase use of technology
tools and applications by site
staff to communicate with
parents about student
progress.
Baseline/Actual Outcome
A baseline will be established
this year.

A baseline to be established this year.
23.6\% of Tafoya parents have AERIES Parent Portal Accounts

## Expected Outcome

A baseline is established.

A baseline is established.

Increase the use of technology for home school communication by $10 \%$.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All students
Strategy/Activity
Provide increased access to school information in a variety of ways including a parent liaison, AERIES, the Tafoya Website, teacher web pages, and translation tools to increase school connectedness and parent involvement.

* Professional learning
* Release time/substitutes
* Material and supplies
* Parent Liaison


## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

| Amount(s) |
| :--- |
| 10000 |
| 1000 |

Source(s)
Supplemental/Concentration
Site Discretionary

## Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

## Budget Summary

Description
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA
Other Federal, State, and Local Funds
List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If
the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

## Federal Programs

Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected
Title I Part A: Parent Involvement

## Allocation (\$)

\$72,537.00
\$1,682.00

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$74,219.00
List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

## State or Local Programs

## Site Discretionary

Supplemental/Concentration

## Allocation (\$)

\$15,806.30
$\$ 138,244.00$

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$154,050.30
Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$228,269.30

## School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

## 1 School Principal

3 Classroom Teachers
1 Other School Staff
5 Parent or Community Members

| Name of Members | Role |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jen Buzolich | Parent or Community Member |
| Yolanda David | Other School Staff |
| Zachariah Johnson | Parent or Community Member |
| Alison Kasta | Principal |
| Lynn Samuels | Classroom Teacher |
| Theresa Ewing | Parent or Community Member |
| Alma Mercado | Parent or Community Member |
| Mariza Carson | Classroom Teacher |
| Sue Patterson | Passroom Teacher |
| Val Krist | Parent or Community Member |

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.
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## Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:
The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:
Slgnature Committee or Advisory Group Name
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| Committee or Advisory Group Name |
| :--- |
| Engpensatory Education Advisory Committes |
| Special Education Advisory Committee Leamer Advisory Committee |
| Oifted and Talented Education Program Advisory Committee |
| District/School Liaison Team for schools in Program Improvement |
| Compensatory Education Advisory Committee |
| Departmental Advisory Committee |

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysls of student acadernic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on
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